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INTRODUCTION

*Leishmania hertigi* Herrer 1971 was first described in the Panamanian porcupine *Coeudou rothschildi* Thomas: it appears to be specific for this animal, in which it causes no apparent pathological effects. Amastigotes are scattered, in small numbers, throughout the upper dermis but they evoke no host-cell reaction. Parasites were also found in the spleen and liver.

Although scanty amastigotes were seen in smears prepared from skinsnips, most infections were detected by the culture of skin or viscera in a slightly modified Senekji’s blood-agar medium (McConnell, 1963). Intradermal inoculation of promastigotes from these cultures into hamsters produced relatively short-term infections in which small numbers of parasites could be seen in smears from the site of inoculation for only a few weeks. They could be demonstrated for longer periods by the culture of skin from the point of inoculation, on rare occasions up to one year later. No parasites were found elsewhere in the skin or viscera of the infected hamsters.

The incidence of infection in the Panamanian porcupines was very high, with 83 (88%) of 94 specimens positive. The infection was considered to be of long duration and confirmed to be up to 30 months in one captive animal.

Herrer gave a new specific name to the parasite, *Leishmania hertigi*, on the grounds of its apparent host-specificity in nature, failure of infection in hamsters to protect these animals against subsequent challenge with *L. braziliensis panamensis*, and the peculiar elongate nature of the amastigotes (Fig. 14). He measured and illustrated both promastigotes from culture, and amastigotes from smears of porcupine skin, but stressed only the importance of the latter in comparison with the morphology of other leishmanias. Biochemical studies on *L. hertigi* from Panama have confirmed its specific identity (Chance, et al., 1974; Gardener, et al., 1974). Lainson & Shaw (1973) provisionally placed the organism in the *L. braziliensis* complex but later (Lainson, et al., 1977) removed it into a distinct group of its own, following observations on its behaviour in experimentally infected sandflies and its distinctive biological and biochemical characters.

Deane, et al., (1974) examined spleen smears from a porcupine which was shot in the Mata do Cafundó forest, Município of José
de Freitas, State of Piauí, Brazil. Amastigotes were seen, but the organism was unfortunately not isolated and other tissues were not examined. Later, these authors found similar parasites in liver smears from another porcupine, apparently of the same species, and from the same locality. The animal was tentatively identified as *Coendou prehensilis prehensilis* (Linnaeus): no parasites were detected in smears of the skin, spleen, lung, kidney or peripheral blood. Once again, no isolation of the parasite was made, but the authors regarded it "as a *Leishmania* proper to the porcupines". The amastigotes of the Brazilian parasite differed considerably from those of Panamanian *L. hertigi* in their larger size and rod-shaped kinetoplast. Deane, *et al.*, wisely refrained from assigning a name to the organism, pending the acquisition of more adequate material.

Over the past few years we examined a number of porcupines from two different areas of forest in Pará State, north Brazil, and isolated a *Leishmania*, in blood-agar culture, which appeared very similar to that encountered by Deane, *et al.* On two occasions the infected animals were identified as *Coendou prehensilis*, but most of the isolates were made from what appears to be a new species of *Coendou*.

**Materials and methods**

Both of the *C. prehensilis* and 14 of the new species of *Coendou* came from the general area of Ponta de Pedras, Marajó Island, Pará. Two additional specimens of the latter were also obtained from Utinga forest, Beiém, Pará.

Cultures in blood-agar medium (modified NNN) were made from biopsied skin from various parts of the body, usually from the nose, feet, ears and tail; also from the skin, liver and spleen from autopsied animals. The method of skin culture was similar to that of Herrer, *et al.*, (1966), except that we dispensed with the overnight incubation of skin-snips in refrigerated antibiotics. After removal of the spines and hair, the bare skin was cleaned with warm soapy water, followed by a thorough swabbing with iodine in 70 per cent ethyl alcohol. Before this dried, it was removed with several washes of 70 per cent spirit and the skin finally swabbed with ether. Skin-snips from the cleaned areas were removed with a sterile scalpel and scissors, and introduced directly into the fluid phase of the blood-agar slopes: the latter were prepared as follows.

To 1,000 ml of distilled water was added 28 grammes of Oxoid Nutrient Agar (Code CM3): this was heated in a water-bath until dissolved and distributed into the culture tubes in 4.0 ml amounts. The tubes were then autoclaved, removed to a water-bath at 56°C, and sufficient defibrinated rabbits' blood added to each to give a concentration of about 5 per cent. They were stoppered with rubber bungs and slanted to give the usual NNN slopes: when the blood-agar slopes were firm, 0.5 ml of sterile saline (0.9% w/v) containing 500 i.u. penicillin G (cryst) and 500 μg of streptomycin sulphate was added, to each tube, as the fluid phase. As much of the culture procedure as possible was carried out in a sterile cabinet. Cultures of the porcupine tissues were maintained at 24 — 26°C and examined at weekly intervals: they were discarded if negative after one month.

Impression smears were prepared from skin-snips, liver, spleen, lung and kidney. These were air-dried as quickly as possible, fixed with absolute methyl alcohol and stained for one hour in Giemsa's stain (30 drops to 15.0 ml distilled water buffered to pH 7.4). Preparations of promastigotes from positive cultures were made in a similar manner. Material for histology was fixed in 10 per cent formol-saline, cut at 4.0 μm and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Amastigotes and promastigotes were measured by the photographic method we have previously described for the amastigotes of other leishmanias (Shaw & Lainson, 1976): all measurements are given in μm.

Triturates of skin and pooled liver and spleen were separately inoculated intrader-
mally and intraperitoneally into hamsters in attempts to infect them with the porcupine parasite; and other hamsters and a single guinea-pig were inoculated with rich suspensions of promastigotes.

**RESULTS**

Promastigote flagellates grew luxuriantly in cultures from 11 of the 18 porcupines, including both of the *C. prehensilis*. In 8 instances the parasite was isolated only from the skin; in 2 cases from the skin and viscera; and in 1, only from the viscera. Scanty amastigotes (Figs. 1-5, 13) were seen in the liver and spleen of one animal (*Coendou* nov. sp.): microscopic demonstration of amastigotes was otherwise limited to histological sections of the skin of the same animal (Figs. 6 & 7). These showed extremely scanty, single parasites scattered throughout the upper dermis and usually lying in a small vacuole. In section the amastigotes appeared much smaller than those in the liver and spleen smears (Figs. 1-7), doubtless due to shrinkage in the fixed tissue and/or expansion of the flattened parasites in impression smears. A similar size difference in sections and smears was noted for *L. hertigi* of the Panamanian porcupine (Herrer, 1971).
We were unable to determine the exact nature of the parasitized cell, for all the amastigotes seen in smears were extracellular and no clear association of parasite and host cell was apparent in sections. On no occasion was the organism seen to provoke any host cellular reaction. The paucity of amastigotes in all tissues examined permitted measurement of only 12 examples, all from the liver and spleen smears of one animal.

We have noted morphological differences between this parasite and L. hertigi from Panama, the Brazilian organism being considerably larger: in addition there are significant serological and biochemical differences (2). Some form of taxonomic distinction is thus warranted and, as the two leishmanias are clearly closely related, we feel that separation is best made at subspecific level. The name Leishmania hertigi deanei nov. subsp., is given for the parasite from C. prehensilis, in Brazil, in honour of our old friend Leonidas Deane: that of the Panamanian porcupine, C. rothschildi, thus becomes L. hertigi hertigi Herrer. Type material is held in our collection of slides at the Institute Evandro Chagas, Belém.

Leishmania hertigi deanei nov. subsp.

MORPHOLOGY OF THE AMASTIGOTE: (Figs. 1-5, 13).

The parasite is ellipsoidal and ranges from 5.1 x 3.1 μm to 6.8 x 4.5 μm, average 6.1 x 3.7 μm. The cytoplasm stains a clear, bright blue and is markedly vacuolated. The nucleus is predominantly oval to round in outline: it measures approximately 2.5 μm in diameter and is usually placed close up against the cell wall. The kinetoplast is oval to rod-shaped, frequently curved: it is sometimes so close to the nucleus that it becomes difficult to detect.

MORPHOLOGY OF THE PROMASTIGOTE: (Figs. 8-12).

It is unfortunate that this description has to be based on promastigotes from in vitro culture, for in our experience with other leishmanias the cultural forms may differ considerably from the various developmental stages seen in the sandfly vector.

The flagellates from 6-14 day-old cultures showed such a diversity of form that it is doubtful if average measurements of even a very large number would be very meaningful in a comparison with L. h. hertigi: the fact that both rounded and elongate division forms were equally common also complicates the description. Measurements and disposition of the nucleus and kinetoplast are fairly stable characters, however, and illustrations and mensural data (Table I) are therefore given for 20 cultural forms, from a 10 day-old blood-agar culture.

Body length and width of the smallest elongate forms may be as little as 6.0 x 2.0 μm, with a free flagellum of 11-16 μm: larger promastigotes reach up to 18.0 x 2.5 μm, with the flagellum up to 24.0 μm long. Most of the elongate parasites taper gently to a finely pointed posterior end and possess a more abruptly pointed anterior: this imparts a somewhat “cigar-shaped” appearance. More rarely the anterior end may be rounded. The cytoplasm stains delicately and may contain a variable number of heavily stained, reddish granules. Position of the nucleus is variable; sometimes central, but most usually slightly more towards the anterior end of the flagellate. It is most commonly ovoid or rounded, sometimes elongated and more irregular. The kinetoplast is conspicuous, oval to kidney-shaped, rarely rounded: it is situated about 1.5 — 3.0 μm from the anterior end. The free flagellum is extremely variable in length, but many variations may be due to breakage in making the preparations. Elongate and rounded division stages are equally common, and bizarre forms may sometimes be found with three flagella or four nuclei.

HOST: Coendou prehensilis (Linnaeus) and Coendou sp. (nov. sp., Handley, et al., see previous footnote).

TYPE LOCALITY: Ponta de Pedras, Marajó Island, Pará, State, north Brazil.

(2) — Personal communication from Mr. S. L. Croft, Dr. M. L. Chance and Dr. L. F. Schnur, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine.
SITE AND TYPE OF INFECTION: throughout upper dermis, liver and spleen. Infection in-apparent, with numbers of parasites frequently so low that they can be detected only after the culture of tissues in blood-agar medium. No visible pathology: absence of any host-cell reaction associated with parasites, which are often limited to single amastigotes widely scattered in the tissue.

INFECTIVITY FOR LABORATORY ANIMALS: no detectable infections have yet been produced in hamsters or the guinea-pig.

VECTOR: unknown. Presumably a phlebotomine sandfly.

TAXONOMY: Failure to establish either attached hindgut or foregut developmental stages in sandflies (Anon., 1967; Lainson, et al., 1977) and characteristic biochemical features (Chance, et al., 1974; Gardener, et al., 1974) precludes the inclusion of L. hertigi in either the L. braziliensis or L. mexicana complexes: it was thus removed to a group on its own (Lainson, et al., 1977). L. hertigi hertigi and L. hertigi deanei are differentiated by the morphology of the amastigotes, as seen in their respective porcupine hosts (present studies), serologically, and biochemically by electrophoretic mobility patterns of glucose phosphate isomerase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Croft, Schnur & Chance, personal communication).

DISCUSSION

The average measurements of the amastigotes described by Deane, et al., (1974) in the porcupines from Piauí were 6.1 x 3.4 μm — so similar to our own measurements of 6.1 x 3.7 μm that there can be little doubt that these authors were also dealing with L. h. deanei. They described two other characteristics which agree with our own observations; namely the highly vacuolated nature of the cytoplasm, and the elongated form of the kinetoplast, which has the form of a small curved rod.

Leishmanias of Neotropical Figs. 12-13. Leishmania hertigi deanei nov. subsp. 12, variety of dividing and non-divided promastigotes seen in a 10-day-old blood-agar culture. 13, amastigotes in liver and spleen smears of Coendou sp. Giemsa. Fig. 14. Leishmania hertigi hertigi: amastigotes in skin smears of Coendou rothschildi (reproduced, at same scale, from Herrer, 1971). Note much smaller size, lack of vacuoles in cytoplasm, and different form of kinetoplast.

Amastigotes of L. h. deanei would thus appear to be morphologically distinct from those of L. h. hertigi, in their respective porcupine hosts. The latter parasite measures only from 3.5 to 4.8 μm x 1.2 to 2.5 μm and the kinetoplast is “frequently rounded” (Herrer, 1971). Furthermore, Herrer’s illustrations show no evidence of the prominent vacuolation so typical of L. h. deanei. The morphological
TABLE I. Measurements, in μm, of 20 promastigotes of Leishmania hertigii deanei nov. subsp., from 10-day-old blood-agar cultures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Body Length</th>
<th>Body Width</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Flgm</th>
<th>K — A</th>
<th>P — N</th>
<th>A — N</th>
<th>Diam of Nucleus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.5 x 1.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.75 x 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.5 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.5 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.5 x 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5 x 0.75</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0 x 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.75 x 0.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.0 x 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5 x 0.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.0 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.0 x 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.75</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.25 x 0.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.5 x 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K = kinetoplast; Flgm = flagellum; K — A = distance from kinetoplast to anterior end of body; P — N = distance from posterior end of body to middle of nucleus.

Lainson & Shaw
differences of the two parasites are shown in Figs. 13 & 14.

The vectors of both subspecies of *L. hertigi* remain unknown. Workers at the Gorgas Memorial Laboratory in Panama found *L. h. hertigi* to develop very poorly in the local sandfly species *Lutzomyia sanguinaria* and *L. gomezi* (Anon, 1967), and Lainson et al. (1977) had no greater success with *L. h. deanei* in *L. longipalpis*.
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